# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

# Synergistic Anti-inflammatory Effects of Nobiletin and Sulforaphane in Lipopolysaccharide-Stimulated RAW 264.7 Cells

Shanshan Guo,<sup>†,‡</sup> Peiju Qiu,<sup>‡</sup> Guang Xu,<sup>§</sup> Xian Wu,<sup>‡</sup> Ping Dong,<sup>‡</sup> Guanpin Yang,<sup>†</sup> Jinkai Zheng,<sup>‡</sup> David Julian McClements,<sup>‡</sup> and Hang Xiao<sup>\*,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>College of Marine Life Science, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, Shandong, People's Republic of China

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Food Science and <sup>§</sup>Department of Plant, Soil & Insect Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, United States

**ABSTRACT:** Inflammation plays important roles in the initiation and progress of many diseases including cancers in multiple organ sites. Herein, we investigated the anti-inflammatory effects of two dietary compounds, nobiletin (NBN) and sulforaphane (SFN), in combination. Noncytotoxic concentrations of NBN, SFN, and their combinations were studied in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. The results showed that combined NBN and SFN treatments produced much stronger inhibitory effects on the production of nitric oxide (NO) than NBN or SFN alone at higher concentrations. These enhanced inhibitory effects were synergistic based on the isobologram analysis. Western blot analysis showed that combined NBN and SFN treatments synergistically decreased iNOS and COX-2 protein expression levels and induced heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) protein expression. Real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis indicated that low doses of NBN and SFN in combination significantly suppressed LPS-induced upregulation of IL-1 mRNA levels and synergistically increased HO-1 mRNA levels. Overall, our results demonstrated that NBN and SFN in combination produced synergistic effects in inhibiting LPS-induced inflammation in RAW 264.7 cells.

**KEYWORDS:** nobiletin, sulforaphane, inflammation, nitric oxide, iNOS, COX-2

# INTRODUCTION

It is well recognized that inflammation plays important roles in the initiation and progress of many diseases including cancers in multiple organ sites. Inflammation can be oncogenic by various mechanisms including induction of genomic instability, promoting angiogenesis, altering the genomic epigenetic status, and enhancing cell proliferation.<sup>1</sup> Pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1 (IL-1), tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), and interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ), can induce inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression, which in turn can increase the production of nitric oxide (NO).<sup>2</sup> Studies indicated that iNOS expression was associated with several malignant tumors in human such as brain, breast, colorectal, lung, prostate, pancreatic carcinoma, and melanoma.<sup>1</sup> iNOS-mediated prolonged overproduction of NO has been implicated in epithelia carcinogenesis,<sup>3</sup> and excessive NO can cause mutagenesis, damage DNA structure, and promote the formation of Nnitrosoamines.<sup>4-6</sup> Similar to iNOS, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is also an inducible pro-inflammatory enzyme. COX-2 can convert arachidonic acid to prostaglandin and other eicosanoids. Aberrant functioning of COX-2 has been associated with carcinogenesis by promoting cell survival, angiogenesis, and metastasis.<sup>7-9</sup> Therefore, iNOS and COX-2 are important targets for anti-inflammation remedy.

Controlling inflammation has been recognized as one of the important strategies for the prevention and treatment of cancer.<sup>10</sup> Many dietary bioactive compounds have been identified as potential anti-inflammatory agents. Nobiletin (NBN) is a polymethoxyflavone mainly found in citrus fruits, especially in the peels. It has been shown that NBN had anti-inflammatory<sup>11</sup> and anticarcinogenic activities.<sup>12</sup> NBN inhibited

IL-1-induced production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) in human synovial cells and interfered with the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)induced production of PGE2 and gene expression of IL-1, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 in mouse J774A.1 macrophages.<sup>11</sup> NBN also suppressed the expression of COX-2, NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent transcription, and PGE2 production induced by IL-1 in osteoblasts.<sup>13</sup> In mice, NBN was found to inhibit two distinct stages of skin inflammation induced by TPA application, and moreover, NBN was able to inhibited dimethylbenz[ $\alpha$ ]anthracene/TPA-induced skin tumor formation.<sup>14</sup> Sulforaphane (SFN), an aliphatic isothiocyanate found in cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli and cabbage, is a known cancer chemopreventive agent, which is in part related to its potential anti-inflammatory activities.<sup>15</sup> SFN has been shown to downregulate LPS-induced iNOS, COX-2, and TNF- $\alpha$  expression in mouse macrophages,<sup>16</sup> and it has been suggested that SFN exerted its anti-inflammatory activity via activation of Nrf2 in mouse peritoneal macrophages.<sup>15</sup>

Inflammation is a complex and multifactorial process. The use of single agents to inhibit inflammation may not achieve a satisfactory outcome, and high-dose administration of certain anti-inflammatory agents alone may cause unacceptable toxicity. A growing body of evidence suggests that the combination of agents with different molecular targets may produce synergistic interactions and result in enhanced biological effects.<sup>17</sup> The possible favorable outcomes of synergistic interaction among

Received:	October 26, 2011
Revised:	February 13, 2012
Accepted:	February 15, 2012
Published:	February 16, 2012

ACS Publications © 2012 American Chemical Society

different anti-inflammatory agents include increased efficacy of anti-inflammation, decreased dosage of each anti-inflammatory agents required to manifest efficacy, and minimized potential toxicity and/or side effects from high-dose administration. In this study, for the first time, we investigated the combination of NBN and SFN in terms of their potential synergy in inhibiting pro-inflammatory responses in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Cell Culture.** RAW 264.7 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD) and were cultured in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Medistech, Herndon, VA), 100 units/mL of penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ mL of streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 37 °C with 5%  $CO_2$  and 95% air. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as the vehicle to deliver NBN (>98%, Qualityphytochemicals Inc., Edison, NJ) and SFN (>98%, Qualityphytochemicals Inc.) to the culture media, and the final concentration of DMSO in all experiments was 0.1% v/v in cell culture media.

**Cell Viability Assay.** The cell viability was determined as previously described.<sup>18</sup> RAW 264.7 cells (50000 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates. After 24 h, cells were treated with serial concentrations of test compounds in 200  $\mu$ L of serum complete media. After 24 h treatments, cells were subject to 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Media were replaced by 100  $\mu$ L of fresh media containing 0.1 mg/mL of MTT (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). After 2 h of incubation at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% air, MTT-containing media were removed, and the reduced formazan dye was solubilized by adding 100  $\mu$ L of DMSO to each well. After gentle mixing, the absorbance was monitored at 570 nm using a plate reader (Elx800TM absorbance microplate reader, BioTek Instrument, Winooski, VT).

**NO Assay.** The nitrite concentration in the culture media was measured as an indicator of NO production by the Griess reaction. The culture media were mixed with an equal volume of Griess reagent A and B (A, 1% sulfanilamide in 5% phosphoric acid; and B, 0.1% naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride in water). The absorbance of the mixture at 540 nm was measured by a plate reader, and concentrations of nitrite were calculated according to a standard curve constructed with sodium nitrite as a standard.

Analyses of Synergy. The analyses were based on isobologram method as described previously.<sup>19</sup> It was assumed that the doseresponse model follows  $\log[E/(1-E)] = \alpha(\log d - \log D_m)$ , which is a linear regression model with the response  $\log[E/(1-E)]$  and the regressor log (d). This model is used for compound 1, compound 2, and the combinations of the two compounds with a fixed ratio of the doses of the two compounds. E is fraction of NO production, d is the dose applied,  $D_{\rm m}$  is the median effective dose of a compound, and  $\alpha$  is a slope parameter. On the basis of this regression model, median effect plots were constructed using data from the NO assay. Suppose that the combination  $(d_1, d_2)$  elicits the same effect x as compound 1 alone at dose level  $D_{x,1}$  and compound 2 alone at dose  $D_{x,2}$ , then, the interaction index =  $d_1/D_{x,1} + d_2/D_{x,2}$  ( $D_{x,1}$  and  $D_{x,2}$  were calculated from median effect models). The interaction index was used to determine additivity, synergy, or antagonism of the combination at dose  $(d_1, d_2)$  depending on interaction index = 1, <1, or >1, respectively. The  $\delta$  method (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta\_ method) was used to calculate the variance of the interaction index, which is given by var. (interaction index) = var.  $(D_{x,1})(d_1^2/D_{x,1}^4)$  + var.  $(D_{x,2})(d_2^2/D_{x,2}^4)$ . Data were analyzed by R program (http://www.rproject.org/).

**Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for TNF-** $\alpha$  **and IL-1.** RAW 264.7 cells (5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/well) were seeded in six-well plates. After 24 h, cells were treated with 1  $\mu$ g/mL LPS alone or with serial concentrations of test compounds in 2 mL of serum complete media. After another 24 h of incubation, the culture media were collected and analyzed for TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 levels by ELISA kits,

according to the manufacturer's instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

**Preparation of Whole Cell Lysate.** RAW 264.7 cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and collected with cell scrapers from culture plates. The cells were combined with floating cells, if any, and incubated on ice in lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM *β*-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, and 1 µg/mL leupeptin with freshly added protease inhibitor cocktail (Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III; Boston Bioproducts, Boston, MA) for 20 min on ice. Cell suspensions were then subjected to sonication (5 s, three times). After further incubation for 20 min on ice, supernatants were collected by centrifugation at 10000g for 10 min. Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL), following the manufacturer's instruction.

**Immunoblot Analysis.** For immunoblot analysis, equal amount of proteins (50  $\mu$ g) were resolved over 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking, proteins of interest were probed using different antibodies at the manufacturer's recommended concentrations and then visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (Boston Bioproducts, Ashland, MA). Antibodies for iNOS, COX-2, and heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Anti- $\beta$  actin antibody was from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Quantitative Real-Time Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR) Analysis. Total RNA of RAW 264.7 cells was isolated by RNeasy Plus Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA concentrations were determined using NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). From each sample, 0.16 mg of total RNA was converted to single-stranded cDNA, which was then amplified by Brilliant II SYBR Green QRT-PCR Master Mix Kit, 1-Step (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) to detect quantitatively the gene expression of iNOS, COX-2, IL-1, HO-1, and glyceraldehydes 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (as an internal standard) using Mx3000P QPCR System (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The primer pairs were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA), and the sequences are listed in

Table 1. Oligonucleotide Primers Used for qRT-PCR

gene	sequence	ref
iNO	F: 5'-TCC TAC ACC ACA CCA AAC-3'	30
	R: 5'-CTC CAA TCT CTG CCT ATC C-3'	
COX-2	F: 5'-CCT CTG CGA TGC TCT TCC-3'	30
	R: 5'-TCA CAC TTA TAC TGG TCA AAT CC-3'	
HO-1	F: 5'-AAG AGG CTA AGA CCG CCT TC-3'	21
	R: 5'-GTC GTC GTC AGT CAA CAT GG-3'	
IL-1	F: 5'-GAG TGT GGA TCC CAA GCA AT-3'	21
	R: 5'-CTC AGT GCA GGC TAT GGA CCA-3'	
GAPDH	F: 5'-TCA ACG GCA CAG TCA AGG-3'	30
	R: 5'-ACT CCA CGA CAT ACT CAG C-3'	

Table 1. A minimum of three independent experiments was carried out, and each experiment had triplicate samples for each treatment. The copy number of each transcript was calculated relative to the GADPH copy number using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method.<sup>20</sup>

**Statistical Analysis.** All data were presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD. Student's *t* test was used to test the mean difference between two groups. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) model was used for the comparison of differences among more than two groups. Both 5 and 1% significance levels were used for the tests.

#### RESULTS

NBN and SFN Synergistically Inhibit NO Production in LPS-Stimulated RAW 264.7 Cells. To establish nontoxic



**Figure 1.** Cytotoxicity profile of NBN, SFN, and their combinations in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Macrophages were seeded in 96-well plates. After 24 h, cells were treated with serial concentrations of NBN, SFN, and their combinations as indicated in the bar graph (the numbers are the concentrations in  $\mu$ M). After another 24 h of incubation, cells were subjected to MTT assay for viability. The viability of control cells was set as the reference with a value of 100%. Results are presented as means ± SDs from six replicates (n = 6). \* indicates a significant difference (p < 0.01).

dose ranges for NBN and SFN in RAW 264.7 cells, we determined the effects of NBN and SFN on the cell viability, using the MTT assay as we described previously.<sup>18</sup> As shown in Figure 1, NBN and SFN at up to 60 and 1.2  $\mu$ M, respectively, did not cause a significant decrease on the viability of RAW 264.7 cells. We further tested the effects of NBN and SFN in combination on the cell viability. The results showed that the combined treatment with NBN and SFN at a 50:1 ratio (up to 30  $\mu$ M NBN + 0.6  $\mu$ M SFN) did not cause a significant decrease on the viability of RAW 264.7 cells.

Using the nontoxic dose ranges established, we determined inhibitory effects of NBN, SFN, and their combinations (with NBN and SFN at 50:1 ratio) on NO production in LPSstimulated RAW 264.7 cells. As shown in Figure 2A, NBN caused a dose-dependent inhibition on LPS-induced NO production by 14, 29, 41, and 50% at 12, 24, 36, and 48 µM, respectively (Figure 2A). Similarly, SFN treatments also resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition on NO production by 18, 33, 44, and 54% at 0.24, 0.48, 0.72, and 0.96 µM, respectively. To determine the combinational effects of NBN and SFN, LPS-stimulated RAW cells were treated with serial concentrations of NBN + SFN at a 50:1 ratio. As shown in Figure 2A, combinations of a half dose of NBN with a half dose of SFN resulted in stronger inhibition on NO production than the individual treatments with NBN or SFN at full doses. For example, a combination of 18 µM NBN with 0.36 µM SFN caused a 55% inhibition on NO production, while 36  $\mu$ M NBN alone and 0.72  $\mu$ M SFN alone led to only 41 and 44% inhibition, respectively. Similarly, a combination of 24  $\mu M$ NBN with 0.48  $\mu$ M SFN caused a 67% inhibition on NO production, while 48  $\mu$ M NBN alone and 0.96  $\mu$ M SFN alone led to only 50 and 54% inhibition, respectively. It is noteworthy that the inhibitory effect of 18  $\mu$ M NBN and 0.36  $\mu$ M SFN in combination was even stronger than that produced by 48  $\mu$ M NBN alone and similar to that produced by 0.96  $\mu$ M SFN alone.

We further determined the mode of interaction between NBN and SFN in inhibiting NO production by using isobologram analysis as we described previously.<sup>19</sup> As shown in Figure 2B, the median effect plot showed that the linear regression model used in the isobologram analysis well fitted

the dose-response relationship of NBN, SFN, and their combinations within the concentration ranges exploited herein. On the basis of the median effect plot, the interaction indexes of each combination dose pair of NBN and SFN were calculated as described in the Materials and Methods. The interaction index was used to determine additivity, synergy, or antagonism of the combination at different doses depending on interaction index =1, <1, or >1, respectively. As shown in Figure 2C, all four dose pairs tested resulted in an interaction index lower than 0.8, suggesting a synergistic interaction between NBN and SFN in terms of inhibiting NO production in LPS-stimulated macrophages.

Combination of NBN and SFN Decreases Protein Levels of iNOS and COX-2. Because NO production was synergistically suppressed by combination of NBN and SFN in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells, we next examined the effects of this combination on the protein level of iNOS. We selected to test the effects of 15  $\mu$ M NBN + 0.3  $\mu$ M SFN in comparison with NBN and SFN alone (The ratio of NBN:SFN was 50:1 and was the same as that in Figures 1 and 2). LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells were treated with 30  $\mu$ M NBN, 0.6  $\mu$ M SFN, or their half dose combination (15  $\mu$ M NBN + 0.3  $\mu$ M SFN) for 24 h, and then, the protein levels of iNOS in RAW 264.7 were determined by Western blotting. The results demonstrated that NBN at 30  $\mu$ M and SFN at 0.6  $\mu$ M significantly decreased iNOS protein levels by 66 and 78%, respectively, as compared to the LPS-treated positive control cells (Figure 3). However, the combination of NBN and SFN at half doses produced a 95% decrease on iNOS protein level, which was stronger than the effects produced by NBN and SFN at full dose alone. We also determined treatment effects on protein levels of COX-2, an important pro-inflammatory protein. The results showed that NBN at 30  $\mu$ M, SFN at 0.6  $\mu$ M, and NBN at 15  $\mu$ M + SFN at 0.3  $\mu$ M caused significant decrease on protein levels of COX-2 by 55, 40, and 66%, respectively, as compared to the LPS-treated positive control cells (Figure 3).

Using the qRT-PCR technique, we further analyzed the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on the mRNA levels of iNOS and COX-2 after 24 h treatments. As shown in Figure 4, the LPS treatments significantly increased the mRNA levels of both iNOS and COX-2, which is consistent with the



**Figure 2.** (A) Percentage of inhibition on NO production by NBN, SFN, and their combinations in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. (B) Median effect plot of NBN, SFN, and their combination on inhibition of NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. (C) Interaction index plot for the combination effects of NBN and SFN on NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were treated with LPS (positive control) or LPS plus serial concentrations of NBN, SFN, and their combinations as indicated in panel A. After 24 h of incubation, the culture media were collected for NO assay as described in the Materials and Methods. The interaction index was calculated based on median effect plot following isobologram analysis

#### Figure 2. continued

as described in the Materials and Methods. Results are presented as means  $\pm$  SDs from six replicates. All treatments showed statistical significance in comparison with the positive control in A. All dose pairs tested showed an interaction index significantly lower than 1 in C (P < 0.01, n = 6).



**Figure 3.** Inhibitory effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on LPS-induced iNOS and COX-2 protein expression in RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes for 24 h and then treated with 1  $\mu$ g/mL of LPS or LPS plus NBN, SFN, and their combination at concentrations indicated in the figure. After 24 h of incubation, cells were harvested for western immunblotting as described in the Materials and Methods. The numbers underneath of the blots represent band intensity (normalized to  $\beta$ -actin, means of three independent experiments) measured by Image J software. The standard deviations (all within ±15% of the means) were not shown.  $\beta$ -Actin served as an equal loading control. \* indicates a statistical significance (P < 0.05, n = 3).

increased protein expression levels of the two proteins after LPS treatment as shown in Figure 3. NBN at 15 or 30  $\mu$ M did not decrease mRNA levels of iNOS or COX-2, whereas SFN at 0.3 and 0.6  $\mu$ M caused significant decrease on mRNA level of iNOS by 63 and 69%, respectively. However, the same SFN treatments did not decrease the mRNA level of COX-2. The combination of NBN at 15  $\mu$ M and SFN at 0.3  $\mu$ M resulted in a decrease on mRNA level of iNOS by 28% but had no effect on mRNA level of COX-2.

Combination of NBN and SFN Decreases Protein Level of IL-1. Accumulating studies have demonstrated that pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$  play important roles in the progression of various diseases including cancer. Using ELISA assay, we determined the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on the production of IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$  in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. As shown in Figure 5A,B, LPS treatment for 24 h resulted in a significant production of IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$  in the macrophage cells. NBN at 15 and 30  $\mu$ M dose dependently suppressed protein levels of IL-1 by 59 and 85%, respectively, as compared to the LPStreated positive control cells (Figure 5B). SFN at 0.3 and 0.6  $\mu$ M showed a similar inhibition on IL-1 production by about 22–23%. The combination of NBN at 15  $\mu$ M and SFN at 0.3  $\mu$ M inhibited IL-1 production by 75% as compared to the LPStreated positive control cells, and this effect was more potent than that caused by SFN at 0.6  $\mu$ M alone. As shown in Figure 5A, NBN, SFN, and their combination showed marginal effects on the production of TNF- $\alpha$ ; for example, only NBN at 30  $\mu$ M, SFN at 0.6  $\mu$ M, and their half dose combination resulted in a slightly decreased (<10%) production of TNF- $\alpha$  in comparison with the LPS-treated positive control cells.





**Figure 4.** Effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on mRNA levels of iNOS (A) and COX-2 (B) in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were treated with LPS or LPS plus NBN, SFN, and their combination at concentrations indicated in the figure. After 24 h of incubation, total RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR as described in Materials and Methods. The RT products were labeled with SYBR Green dye. Relative iNOS and COX-2 mRNA expression  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$  were determined by real-time PCR and calculated by the Ct value for iNOS and COX-2 from GAPDH mRNA.  $\Delta\Delta Ct = (C_{t target gene} - C_{t GAPDH}) - (C_{t control} - C_{t GAPDH})$ . Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments. Different annotations indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05, n = 3) by ANOVA.

Using the qRT-PCR technique, we analyzed the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on the mRNA levels of IL-1 after 24 h treatments. As shown in Figure 5C, NBN at 15 and 30  $\mu$ M showed similar inhibitory effects (about 40% inhibition) on the mRNA levels of IL-1 in comparison with the LPS-treated positive control cells. On the other hand, SFN at 0.3 and 0.6  $\mu$ M showed a dose-dependent inhibition on the mRNA levels of IL-1by 27 and 49%, respectively. Furthermore, the combination of NBN at 15  $\mu$ M and SFN at 0.3  $\mu$ M led to a 55% inhibition on the mRNA levels of IL-1 in comparison with the LPS-treated positive control.

Combination of NBN and SFN Increases the Protein Expression Level of HO-1. It was suggested that HO-1, an antioxidant enzyme, played a role in regulating inflammation response. Next, we examined the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on the protein expression levels of HO-1 in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. As shown in Figure 6A, Western blotting results demonstrated that NBN at 15 and 30  $\mu$ M increased protein levels of HO-1 by 2.17- and 2.52-fold,



**Figure 5.** Effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on protein expression levels of TNF- $\alpha$  (A) and IL-1 (B) and on the mRNA level of IL-1 (C) in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were treated with LPS or LPS plus NBN, SFN, and their combination at concentrations indicated in the figure. After 24 h of incubation, the culture media were collected and analyzed for protein levels of TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 by ELISA. The mRNA level of IL-1 was quantified by qRT-PCR as described in the Materials and Methods. The RT products were labeled with SYBR Green dye. Relative IL-1 mRNA expression  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$  was determined by real-time PCR and calculated by the Ct value for IL-1 from GAPDH mRNA.  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct =  $(C_{t \text{ target gene}} - C_{t \text{ GAPDH}}) - (C_{t \text{ control}} - C_{t \text{ GAPDH}})$ . Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments. Different annotations indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05, n = 3) by ANOVA.



Figure 6. (A) Effects of NBN, SFN, and their combinations on HO-1 protein expression in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes for 24 h and then treated with 1  $\mu$ g/mL of LPS or LPS plus NBN, SFN, and their combination at concentrations indicated in the figure. After 24 h of incubation, cells were harvested for western immunblotting as described in the Materials and Methods. The numbers underneath of the blots represent band intensity (normalized to  $\beta$ -actin, means of three independent experiments) measured by Image J software. The standard deviations (all within  $\pm 15\%$  of the means) were not shown.  $\beta$ -Actin served as an equal loading control. \* indicates statistical significance (P < 0.05, n = 3). (B) Effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on mRNA levels of HO-1 in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The cells were treated with LPS or LPS plus NBN, SFN, and their combination at concentrations indicated in the figure. After 24 h of incubation, total RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR. The RT products were labeled with SYBR Green dye. Relative HO-1 mRNA expression  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$  was determined by real-time PCR and calculated by the Ct value for HO-1 from GAPDH mRNA.  $\Delta\Delta Ct = (C_{t \text{ target gene}} - C_{t \text{ GAPDH}}) - (C_{t \text{ control}} - C_{t \text{ control}})$  $C_{t \text{ GAPDH}}$ ). Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments. Different annotations indicate statistical significance (p < p0.05, n = 3) by ANOVA.

respectively. SFN at 0.3 or 0.6  $\mu$ M did not cause significant changes on the protein levels of HO-1. Interestingly, the combination of NBN at half dose (15  $\mu$ M) and SFN at half dose (0.3  $\mu$ M) drastically increased the protein level of HO-1 by 8.18-fold. We further analyzed the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on mRNA levels of HO-1 in LPS-stimulated macrophage cells. The results from qRT-PCR demonstrated that NBN at 15 and 30  $\mu$ M dose dependently increased the mRNA levels of HO-1 by 3.1- and 4.0-fold in comparison with the LPS-treated positive control cells (Figure 6B). SFN at 0.3  $\mu$ M caused a moderate increase in mRNA level of HO-1 by 49%, while SFN at 0.6  $\mu$ M did not result in any significant change. Most interestingly, the combination of NBN at 15  $\mu$ M and SFN at 0.3  $\mu$ M resulted in a significant increase on mRNA level of HO-1 by 4.64-fold; this effect was more potent than those produced by NBN at 30  $\mu M$  alone and SFN at 0.6  $\mu M$  alone.

## DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study is to determine the extent to which NBN and SFN potentiate each other and produce enhanced inhibitory effects against inflammation. Our results demonstrated that combinations of NBN and SFN significantly inhibited NO production in LPS-stimulated macrophage cells. and these inhibitory effects were stronger than those produced by NBN or SFN alone at much higher doses (Figure 2). It is noteworthy that all of the doses used for single and combination treatments were nontoxic to macrophage cells. This was to ensure that the inhibitory effects observed on NO production were due to inhibition on inflammation but not due to disruption of normal cellular function. To determine whether the enhanced inhibitory effects from the combination of NBN and SFN were synergistic or additive, we analyzed the mode of interaction between NBN and SFN using an isobologram-based method. Our results clearly demonstrated that the combination of NBN and SFN produced synergistic inhibition on NO production in LPS-stimulated macrophage cells.

To elucidate the molecular mechanism of interaction between NBN and SFN, we investigated their effects on several important pro-inflammatory proteins. The results showed that both NBN and SFN inhibited LPS-induced protein upregulation of iNOS and COX-2, whereas the combination of NBN and SFN at half doses produced even stronger inhibition on protein upregulation of iNOS and COX-2, suggesting a synergistic effect (Figure 3). We further determined the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on mRNA levels of iNOS and COX-2 (Figure 4). None of NBN, SFN, or their combination decreased mRNA levels of COX-2, suggesting that they may decrease the protein level of COX-2 by other mechanisms such as modulating translation and/or degradation of COX-2 protein in LPS-stimulated macrophage cells. Interestingly, SFN caused a strong decrease on mRNA levels of iNOS, while NBN did not cause any decrease at all. This result suggested that NBN and SFN had different mechanisms to downregulate iNOS protein; that is, SFN decreased protein levels of iNOS at least in part by downregulating the transcription of iNOS gene, while NBN decreased protein levels of iNOS by other mechanisms such as inhibiting translation of iNOS mRNA and/or promoting degradation of iNOS protein. Anti-inflammatory effects of SFN have been studied in combination with other dietary components, that is, curcumin and phenethyl isothiocyanate in LPS-treated RAW 264.7 cells, and the results demonstrated synergistic interactions among these compounds in inhibiting inflammatory responses such as elevated iNOS and COX-2 expression levels.<sup>21</sup> Similar to our findings, the synergy between SFN and curcumin or phenethyl isothiocyanate was not due to inhibition of mRNA levels of iNOS or COX-2.

Our results indicated that LPS caused drastic elevation of TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 produced by macrophage cells in the culture media. As pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 can increase iNOS transcription by activation of NF- $\kappa$ B that binds to  $\kappa$ B element in the NOS promoter,<sup>2</sup> and IL-1 can also induce COX-2 expression.<sup>22</sup> It is suggested that high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines can stimulate tumor growth and progression.<sup>23</sup> Our results showed that NBN, SFN, and their combination did not cause a strong inhibition on TNF- $\alpha$  levels

in culture media. There was also no change observed on mRNA levels of TNF- $\alpha$  after these treatments (data not shown). On the other hand, NBN caused a strong inhibition on production of IL-1 by macrophage cells, while SFN led to a moderate inhibition on IL-1 (Figure 5). The combination of NBN and SFN at half doses resulted in a strong inhibition on IL-1, and this inhibitory effect was similar to that caused by the full dose of NBN alone and much stronger than that caused by the full dose of SFN alone. These results suggested that combination of NBN and SFN and SFN produced an enhanced inhibitory effect on IL-1 in comparison with NBN or SFN alone. Because IL-1 can induce expression of both iNOS and COX-2, the inhibitory effects of NBN and SFN combination on IL-1.

One of the major biological effects of SFN is induction of phase II enzymes, which in turn contributes to cancer preventive activity of SFN. HO-1 is an important phase II antioxidant enzyme, and it has been reported to suppress inflammatory responses and provide protection against the proinflammatory effects of toxic agents.<sup>24,25</sup> We investigated the effects of NBN, SFN, and their combination on the protein and mRNA levels of HO-1 in LPS-stimulated macrophage cells. The results demonstrated that a full dose of NBN increased the HO-1 protein level to 2.5-fold of the control cells. However, at the doses tested, SFN did not significantly increase the HO-1 protein level. Most importantly, our results showed that the combination of NBN and SFN at half doses increased the HO-1 protein level to 8.18-fold of the control. Consistent with these results on HO-1 protein levels, NBN, SFN, and their combination increased the mRNA level of HO-1 in the order of NBN + SFN combination > NBN  $\gg$  SFN. Our results, for the first time, demonstrated that NBN can increase the HO-1 protein level in RAW 264.7 cells. It was shown that the expression of HO-1 is crucial in inhibiting LPS-induced proinflammatory responses in RAW 264.7 cells.<sup>21</sup> HO-1 can increase cellular antioxidant status by generating antioxidants such as bilirubin,<sup>26</sup> which can inhibit iNOS protein expression and suppress NO production in RAW 264.7 cells.<sup>27</sup> Moreover, carbon monoxide (CO), a major product of HO-1 activity, was shown to inhibit COX-2 protein expression by suppressing CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP).<sup>28</sup> CO was also shown to inhibit iNOS enzymatic activity, thus decreasing NO production.<sup>29</sup> On the basis of the information, our results suggest that the synergistic induction of HO-1 by NBN and SFN in combination plays an important role in inhibiting LPSinduced inflammation in RAW 264.7 cells.

In summary, the combination of NBN and SFN synergistically inhibited LPS-induced inflammation in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells, which was evidenced by lowered NO production and decreased iNOS, COX-2, and IL-1 levels. These effects were associated with synergistic induction of phase II enzyme HO-1 by the NBN + SFN cotreatment. Our results provided new knowledge on the interactions between different dietary bioactive components, which is an important but understudied area.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*Tel: 413-545-2281. Fax: 413-545-1262. E-mail: hangxiao@ foodsci.umass.edu.

#### Funding

This study was supported in part by a NIH grant (CA139174), a USDA Special Grant on Bioactive Foods, and a grant from National Academy of Sciences (PGA-P210858). We thank the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) for providing funding for S.G.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Noppawat Charoensinphone for helpful discussions and technical support.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED

COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; PGE2, prostaglandin E2; HO-1, heme oxygenase-1; IFN- $\gamma$ , interferon- $\gamma$ ; IL-1, interleukin-1; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NBN, nobiletin; NO, nitric oxide; qRT-PCR, quantitative realtime RT-PCR; SFN, sulforaphane; TNF- $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ 

# REFERENCES

(1) Schetter, A. J.; Heegaard, N. H.; Harris, C. C. Inflammation and cancer: Interweaving microRNA, free radical, cytokine and p53 pathways. *Carcinogenesis* **2010**, *31* (1), 37–49.

(2) Hibbs, J. B. Jr. Synthesis of nitric oxide from L-arginine: A recently discovered pathway induced by cytokines with antitumour and antimicrobial activity. *Res. Immunol.* **1991**, *142* (7), 565–569 discussion 596–598.

(3) Ohshima, H.; Bartsch, H. Chronic infections and inflammatory processes as cancer risk factors: Possible role of nitric oxide in carcinogenesis. *Mutat. Res.* **1994**, 305 (2), 253–264.

(4) Arroyo, P. L.; Hatch-Pigott, V.; Mower, H. F.; Cooney, R. V. Mutagenicity of nitric oxide and its inhibition by antioxidants. *Mutat. Res.* **1992**, *281* (3), 193–202.

(5) Miwa, M.; Stuehr, D. J.; Marletta, M. A.; Wishnok, J. S.; Tannenbaum, S. R. Nitrosation of amines by stimulated macrophages. *Carcinogenesis* **1987**, *8* (7), 955–958.

(6) Wink, D. A.; Kasprzak, K. S.; Maragos, C. M.; Elespuru, R. K.; Misra, M.; Dunams, T. M.; Cebula, T. A.; Koch, W. H.; Andrews, A. W.; Allen, J. S.; et al. DNA deaminating ability and genotoxicity of nitric oxide and its progenitors. *Science* 1991, 254 (5034), 1001–1003.
(7) Tsujii, M.; Kawano, S.; DuBois, R. N. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in human colon cancer cells increases metastatic potential. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1997, 94 (7), 3336–3340.

(8) Sheng, H.; Shao, J.; Morrow, J. D.; Beauchamp, R. D.; DuBois, R. N. Modulation of apoptosis and Bcl-2 expression by prostaglandin E2 in human colon cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **1998**, *58* (2), 362–366.

(9) Liu, X. H.; Kirschenbaum, A.; Yao, S.; Stearns, M. E.; Holland, J. F.; Claffey, K.; Levine, A. C. Upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor by cobalt chloride-simulated hypoxia is mediated by persistent induction of cyclooxygenase-2 in a metastatic human prostate cancer cell line. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* **1999**, *17* (8), 687–694. (10) Coussens, L. M.; Werb, Z. Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* **2002**, *420* (6917), 860–867.

(11) Lin, N.; Sato, T.; Takayama, Y.; Mimaki, Y.; Sashida, Y.; Yano, M.; Ito, A. Novel anti-inflammatory actions of nobiletin, a citrus polymethoxy flavonoid, on human synovial fibroblasts and mouse macrophages. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2003**, *65* (12), 2065–2071.

(12) Yoshimizu, N.; Otani, Y.; Saikawa, Y.; Kubota, T.; Yoshida, M.; Furukawa, T.; Kumai, K.; Kameyama, K.; Fujii, M.; Yano, M.; Sato, T.; Ito, A.; Kitajima, M. Anti-tumour effects of nobiletin, a citrus flavonoid, on gastric cancer include: Antiproliferative effects, induction of apoptosis and cell cycle deregulation. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2004**, *20* (Suppl. 1), 95–101.

(13) Harada, S.; Tominari, T.; Matsumoto, C.; Hirata, M.; Takita, M.; Inada, M.; Miyaura, C. Nobiletin, a polymethoxy flavonoid, suppresses bone resorption by inhibiting NFkappaB-dependent prostaglandin E synthesis in osteoblasts and prevents bone loss due to estrogen deficiency. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2011, 115 (1), 89–93.

(14) Murakami, A.; Nakamura, Y.; Torikai, K.; Tanaka, T.; Koshiba, T.; Koshimizu, K.; Kuwahara, S.; Takahashi, Y.; Ogawa, K.; Yano, M.; Tokuda, H.; Nishino, H.; Mimaki, Y.; Sashida, Y.; Kitanaka, S.; Ohigashi, H. Inhibitory effect of citrus nobiletin on phorbol esterinduced skin inflammation, oxidative stress, and tumor promotion in mice. *Cancer Res.* **2000**, *60* (18), 5059–5066.

(15) Lin, W.; Wu, R. T.; Wu, T.; Khor, T. O.; Wang, H.; Kong, A. N. Sulforaphane suppressed LPS-induced inflammation in mouse peritoneal macrophages through Nrf2 dependent pathway. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2008**, *76* (8), 967–973.

(16) Heiss, E.; Herhaus, C.; Klimo, K.; Bartsch, H.; Gerhauser, C. Nuclear factor kappa B is a molecular target for sulforaphane-mediated anti-inflammatory mechanisms. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276* (34), 32008–32015.

(17) Xiao, H.; Yang, C. S. Combination regimen with statins and NSAIDs: A promising strategy for cancer chemoprevention. *Int. J. Cancer* **2008**, *123* (5), 983–990.

(18) Xiao, H.; Yang, C. S.; Li, S.; Jin, H.; Ho, C.-T.; Patel, T. Monodemethylated polymethoxyflavones from sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) peel inhibit growth of human lung cancer cells by apoptosis. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2009**, *53* (3), 398–406.

(19) Xiao, H.; Zhang, Q.; Lin, Y.; Reddy, B. S.; Yang, C. S. Combination of atorvastatin and celecoxib synergistically induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in colon cancer cells. *Int. J. Cancer* **2008**, *122* (9), 2115–2124.

(20) Livak, K. J.; Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods* 2001, 25 (4), 402–408.

(21) Cheung, K. L.; Khor, T. O.; Kong, A. N. Synergistic effect of combination of phenethyl isothiocyanate and sulforaphane or curcumin and sulforaphane in the inhibition of inflammation. *Pharm. Res.* **2009**, *26* (1), 224–231.

(22) Dinarello, C. A. The paradox of pro-inflammatory cytokines in cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **2006**, *25* (3), 307–313.

(23) Lin, W. W.; Karin, M. A cytokine-mediated link between innate immunity, inflammation, and cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 2007, 117 (5), 1175–1183.

(24) Chen, X. L.; Kunsch, C. Induction of cytoprotective genes through Nrf2/antioxidant response element pathway: A new therapeutic approach for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2004**, *10* (8), 879–891.

(25) Li, N.; Alam, J.; Venkatesan, M. I.; Eiguren-Fernandez, A.; Schmitz, D.; Di Stefano, E.; Slaughter, N.; Killeen, E.; Wang, X.; Huang, A.; Wang, M.; Miguel, A. H.; Cho, A.; Sioutas, C.; Nel, A. E. Nrf2 is a key transcription factor that regulates antioxidant defense in macrophages and epithelial cells: Protecting against the proinflammatory and oxidizing effects of diesel exhaust chemicals. *J. Immunol.* **2004**, *173* (5), 3467–3481.

(26) Lin, C. C.; Liu, X. M.; Peyton, K.; Wang, H.; Yang, W. C.; Lin, S. J.; Durante, W. Far infrared therapy inhibits vascular endothelial inflammation via the induction of heme oxygenase-1. *Arterioscler., Thromb., Vasc. Biol.* **2008**, *28* (4), 739–745.

(27) Wang, W. W.; Smith, D. L.; Zucker, S. D. Bilirubin inhibits iNOS expression and NO production in response to endotoxin in rats. *Hepatology* **2004**, *40* (2), 424–433.

(28) Suh, G. Y.; Jin, Y.; Yi, A. K.; Wang, X. M.; Choi, A. M. CCAAT/ enhancer-binding protein mediates carbon monoxide-induced suppression of cyclooxygenase-2. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 2006, 35 (2), 220–226.

(29) Thorup, C.; Jones, C. L.; Gross, S. S.; Moore, L. C.; Goligorsky, M. S. Carbon monoxide induces vasodilation and nitric oxide release but suppresses endothelial NOS. *Am. J. Physiol.* **1999**, 277 (6 Part 2), F882–F889.

(30) Pan, M. H.; Lai, C. S.; Wang, Y. J.; Ho, C.-T. Acacetin suppressed LPS-induced up-expression of iNOS and COX-2 in murine macrophages and TPA-induced tumor promotion in mice. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2006**, 72 (10), 1293–1303.